SIGS Criteria for Post-Tenure Review Approved ±9-12-23

-year period under reviewUsing the guidelines belowafculty will receive a score for their performance eaching, research, and service. These scores will be weighted based on Assigned Duties percentage to an average arch, and service at an overall holistic rating

Posttenure reviews will be conducted by the SIDiSector in accordance with the criteria in WKLV GRFXPHQW) DFXOW\ PHPEHUV PD\ DSSHDO WKH 'LUHI Faculty Executive Committeewho will use the same criterion evaluation. The appeals process will be conducted following process foo appealing FEOAnnual Evaluations specified in 6,*6¶*RYHUQDQ.FH'RFXPHQW

The following mandatedating categorieswill be used for posttenure review:

- 1. Exceeds expectations clear and significant level accomplishment beyond the DYHUDJH SHUIRUPDQFHV RIIDFXOW\ DFURVV WKH IDFXO Performance is appreciably greater than the average college faculty member of the FDQGLGDWH¶V SUHVHt@rWeseaDcQilNstitDt@r@Must have@a sDsWiinevIRS and satisfactory professional conduct and performance of academic responsibilities and FRPSOLDQFH ZLWK VWDWH ODZ %RDUG RI*RYHUQRUV¶ and policies.
- 2. Meets expectationsexpected level of accoptishment compared to faculty across the IDFXOW\PHPEHU¶V GLVFLSOLQH DQG XQLW 6XVWDLQH

- VXSHUYLVLQJ RU VHUYLQJ RQ FRPPLWWHHV IRU XQGHUJU dissertations
- organizing community/civic engagement, leadership, or study abroad programs; teaching/working with students ganged in such programs
- submitting grant proposals focused on instruction
- SXEOLVKLQJ VFKRODUO\ DUWLFOHV UHODWHG WR HGXFD\

Highly effective classroom teaching consists of teaching that effectively guides students in the acquisition of disFLSOLQDU\NQRZOHGJH IRVWHUV VWXGHQWV¶ FU helps students to develop proficiency in oral and written communication. It includes but is not limited to:

- effective course design (including the selection of relevant ppcbpriately current course materials)
- rigorous readings and assignments
- fair evaluation of, and instructional feedback on, student work

Guidelines for *ExceedExpectations' in Teaching:

SIGS considers H [F Hexpectations in teaching to consist of highly effective classroom teaching combined with evidence of a commitment to teaching through at least one of the following additional activities:

- Teaching enhancement and innovation
- Curriculum and programevelopment
- Effective mentoring
- Contributing to departmental teaching needs

Faculty are not required to participate in all teaching activities identified above ed expectations of particular, given the significant disparity in specialties of graduate students in SIGS as well as potential disparities in access to graduate teaching depending on campus location, expectations for the rating of H [F Heldpectations in teaching will vary accordingly.

3 ([F H ledxpectations in teaching for faculty in subject areas with significant numbers of graduate students and access to graduate teaching will include active participation in the graduate program, including teaching graduate courses sections, participating in the comprehensive exam process, and serving on thesiand/or dissertation mmittees. ([F H ledxpectations in teaching for faculty in subject areas with few numbers of graduate students will be focused on their active participation in the undergraduate program.

Guidelines for *Meets Expectations' in Teaching:

Research

Research activities include but are not limited to:

- publishing scholarly books
- publishing articles in refereed professional journals
- publishing chapters in edited book collections
- publishing textbooks
- publishing scholarly encyclopedia entries
- publishing edited book collections
- engaging in the scholarly activity of editing professional journals
- writing and/or performing creative work that draws on research
- participating in applied or community ngaged research projects
- submitting internal and ternal grant proposals in support of research projects
- presenting research at conferences, symposia, colloquia, etc.

Guidelines for ³ ([FH **Exp***ctations in Research:

6,*6 FRQVLGHUV ³H[FHHGV H[SHFWDWLRs@stántla@contributionhDUFK W to the peerUHYLHZHG VFKRODUVKLS LQ D IDFX @atvility & the life EHU¶V I required to participate in all research activities identified above to exceed expectation the purposes of Postenure Review, the quality and impact of scholarshipshould hold greater significance than quantitative output alosence faculty in SIGS span a variety of disciplines both Humanities and Social Science fields, evaluations must also bear in mind the significant differences in research expectations acrosses different disciplines specially as they account for variation in (among other things) e standard ength of journal articles norms around co-authorship, and equirements for substantial field work, archival research and/or

6,*6 FRQVLGHUV ³GRHV QRW PHHW H[ISmittleFoll/AM/IDIA

Guidelines for ³Unsatisfactory ´in Research

6,*6 FRQVLGHUV ³XQVDWLVIDF Molek Wildle ńcel @dredstela hoth Halb til Witty Kar WR FRQV publications over the fiveyear period under review.

Assessing Research

- The SIGS Directorwill use the criteriæboveas a general guide to evaluating research but will also consider various circumstances as explained and documented in the faculty PHPEHU¶V QDUUDWLYH ZKHQ GHWHUPLQLQJ WKH ILQDO H
- The SIGS Directowill take into consideration the effort involved in successfully developing a new line of research; successfully completing research that requires unusual effort, expenditure of time, or technical skills; and/or substantial involvement in activities that include elements of teaching or service but also require a significant amount of current scholarly knowledge, such as editing a journal or making substantive decisions about a conference program.
- The posttenure review of research will be predicated condomulation of reported research activities from the past five annual reviews and research assignments. It must be noted that there is considerable variety in research formats and publications betweenesearch in Humanities fields and Social Science fields, with the result that there must be flexibility in assessing research, and the narration of the candidate is important in understanding research activity.

Service

Service activities include but are not limited to:

University Service

- serving on and/or chairing committees in the school, college, or university
- writing proposals and documents for the school, college, or university
- reviewing proposals for university awards
- giving presentations at university events
- serving in a leadership position in the school (as associate, undergraduate, or graduate director) or serving as a director of lanstitute or Center

Professional Service

- chairing or serving as a discussant for a panel at a conference
- reviewing a manuscript for refereed journal or academic book publisher
- VHUYLQJ RQ D MRXUQDO¶V HGLWRULDO ERDUG

- handling the administrative components of editing eedbing a journal
- serving as a book series editor for a publisher
- reviewing paper proposals for a section **pfra**fessional conference
- organizing conferences or workshops
- serving on scholarly awards committees
- reviewing grant proposals
- reviewing tenure and promotion applications for candidates at other universities
- reviewing academic programs at otherversities
- holding office in a professional association

Public/Community Servic(must draw on academic background)

- offering interviews with the media
- serving as an unpaid consultant for governments/organizations
- organizing community events