

CAMPUS DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE (CDC)

MEETING MINUTES 1/11/05 (2PM TO 4PM)

ROOM 271 - MARSHALL CENTER BRIDGE

Meeting Commenced at 2:05pm

In Attendance:

USF Campus Development Committee

Andrew Aubrey
Carl Carlucci
Rod Casto
Bijal Chhadva
Sandy Cooper
Adrian Cuarta
Barbara Donerly
George Ellis
Trudie Frecker
Ron Hanke
Patricia Haynie
Tom Kane
Elizabeth Kaplon
Jeff Mack
Renee Seay
John Scott
Ralph Wilcox
J.D. Withrow

Guests:

Kathy Bennett
John Gerdes
Ray Gonzalez
Gary Haber
Eric Hunter
Norm Johnson
Dimple Lalwani
Roy Olney
Vicky Medlock
Vicki Mitchell
Michael Rierson
Lee Roy Selmon
R. Wingard
Doug Woolard
Todd Zeiller

CAMPUS DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE (CDC)

MEETING MINUTES 1/11/05 (2PM TO 4PM)

ROOM 271 -

CAMPUS DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE (CDC)
MEETING MINUTES 1/11/05 (2PM TO 4PM)
ROOM 271 - MARSHALL CENTER BRIDGE

A: There would be approximately 4 or 5 additional personnel.

Q: Where would future 2 phases go?

A: The other phases would go on the front and north side. All additional phases will go through the CDC for review and recommendation.

Q: How will access into PED be affected?

A: There will possibly be a joint entrance with PED. The project has not been designed yet; there are many possible solutions.

Q: Would there be parking spaces used for staging?

A: Probably about 6 spaces in front if Site A is chosen.

c. Discussion and Recommendation

Comments (read by Ron Hanke):

Comment from Liz Kaplon, indicating V.P. of Student Affairs is in favor of expanding to the West (Site A).

Comment from Environmental Health and Safety indicating that site orientation will have to comply with new Fire Truck Access Code.

Motion:

A motion was made, and seconded, and the Committee voted unanimously to make a recommendation to ACE Workgroup to approve Site A for the USF Joint Military Scientific Leadership Center.

- 5. Athletics Land Use Plan:** Mr. Doug Woolard, Athletics Director, was introduced to make the presentation for the Athletics Land Use Plan.

a. Proposal Presentation

Doug Woolard, Director of Athletics made a presentation in favor of the proposal in order to accommodate the future growth of USF Athletics Department in light of USF's entrance into the Big East Conference. A University in the Big East Conference should be able to have the commitment to obtain the facilities needed to attract the talent and participation as a revenue source for a University in this category. He proposed components of a new, upgraded Athletics District, i.e.:

Athletic Training Center (complete)

CAMPUS DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE (CDC)

MEETING MINUTES 1/11/05 (2PM TO 4PM)

ROOM 271 - MARSHALL CENTER BRIDGE

Renovation of Sun Dome
Women's and Men's Basketball Gym
Softball Stadium
Three Football Practice Fields
New Soccer Stadium
Tennis Center
New Sports Turf Multi purpose Track Field

Vickie Mitchell of Athletics made a presentation in favor of the proposal by presenting diagrams that indicated land usage by Athletics and Campus Recreation. Proposed additional land in Section 4 be reassigned for Athletics growth and some land be reconfigured for Campus Recreation.

Michael Rierson reinforced the need for Athletics to expand stating that USF needs to make the commitment for future growth.

The Department of Athletics requests that the CDC recommend the reallocation of the area currently described on the land use and density plan as District 4 for athletics uses and provide conceptual approval of the realignment of land currently used by Athletics and Campus Recreation as specified in the proposal.

b. Questions and Answers

Q: Has anyone else been consulted regarding the reallocation of land in District 4 other than Campus Recreation?

A: Yes, the President and Kofi Glover.

Q: Regarding the timing, is everything being based upon the funding by donors?

A: Yes, and the feasibility study will help to assist in the timing.

Q: Would the designated green belt areas (tennis courts, etc.) be part of the plan?

A: The tennis courts were pre-existing conditions. There are some concerns in maintaining the green belt. The 2005 Master Plan Update consultants should address this issue. Some areas can be reassigned as greenway to offset proposed use of greenway.

Q: Was there consideration made with regard to the three adjacent children's educational facilities?

A: Yes, tennis courts, because they would be quieter, would be placed near the educational facilities.

CAMPUS DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE (CDC)
MEETING MINUTES 1/11/05 (2PM TO 4PM)
ROOM 271 - MARSHALL CENTER BRIDGE

c. Discussion and Recommendation

Comment: I see a lot of potential for Campus Recreation in this plan. It is a well thought out plan.

Comment: This is the most exciting time in USF history and for our lifetime. Donors need to understand that we have a game plan so we can aggressively go forward with our fundraising. There is great momentum in the community right now.

Discussion: